



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/632,294	08/04/2000	Tal Isaac Lavian	NOR-089	1868

32836 7590 11/07/2006

GUERIN & RODRIGUEZ, LLP  
5 MOUNT ROYAL AVENUE  
MOUNT ROYAL OFFICE PARK  
MARLBOROUGH, MA 01752

EXAMINER

DALENCOURT, YVES

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2157

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/632,294

Applicant(s)

LAVIAN ET AL.

Examiner

Yves Dalencourt

Art Unit

2157

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 October 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21, 24 and 26-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) 26-30 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3 and 16 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is responsive to amendment after final filed on 10/10/2006.

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

However, after an updated search by the Examiner, a new piece of prior art has emerged. Therefore, claims 1 – 2, 4 – 15, 17 – 21, and 24 as indicated as being allowed in the last office action, have been withdrawn.

### ***Response to Amendment***

The Examiner has acknowledged the cancellation of claims 22 and 23.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 5 - 8, 11 - 14, 18 – 21, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Blackman et al (US 6,219,673).

Regarding claims 1, 5 - 8, 11 - 14, 18 – 21, and 24, Blackman teaches a method and apparatus of interfacing with network management information on a network device

(fig. 2; col. 3, lines 1 – 3; Blackman discloses techniques for bridging between non-object-oriented datastores and object-oriented application programs), which comprises the steps of receiving a non-object oriented management information database (MIB) at a compiler of a network device, the non-object oriented MIB including information related to one or more aspects of the network device (abstract; col. 3, lines 17 – 29; col. 4, lines 7 – 25; Blackman discloses a generic schema mapper class definition and method source code that has methods for interfacing the application program and the non-object-oriented datastore); extracting a subset of information from the non-object oriented MIB describing at least one aspect of the network device (col. 4, lines 49 – 62; col. 5, lines 2 - 45); producing an object-oriented interface, for use by an object-oriented application to access the subset of information in the non-object oriented MIB, generating a set of object-oriented classes and object-oriented methods corresponding to the subset of information in the non-object oriented MIB (fig. 3; col. 3, lines 6 – 29; col. 4, lines 7 – 25; col. 8, lines 32 – 39; col. 9, line 7 through col. 10, line 8).

Regarding claims 2, 4, 9, 10, 15 and 17, Blackman teaches that information in the non-object oriented MIB corresponds to a set of network parameters organized in a hierarchy and used to describe aspects of the network device and that the relationship among the object-oriented classes is a hierarchy that corresponds to the non-object oriented MIB (col.9, line 54 through col. 10, line 55 and col. 10, line 66 through col. 11, lines 56).

***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 3 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 26 – 30 are allowed.

The reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter will be provided when the application is in condition for allowance.

***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Blackman et al discloses a method for the incremental presentation of non-object-oriented datastores using an object-oriented queryable datastore collection.

Blackman et al discloses a framework for object-oriented access to non-object-oriented datastores.

***Contact Information***


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yves Dalencourt whose telephone number is (571) 272-3998. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7:30AM - 6: 00PM.

Art Unit: 2157

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

November 02, 2006

  
YVES DALENCOURT  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100